

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Southern District of Indiana

United States of America

v.

Daniel Sandoval

Case No: 1:11CR00055-005

USM No: 09933-028

Date of Original Judgment: 04/09/2013

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

The defendant received a downward variance pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, and the amended guideline range does not provide for a further reduction. Therefore, he is not eligible for a reduction under this amendment.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 02/28/2018

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Sarah Evans Barker

SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE
United States District Court
Southern District of Indiana

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

Laura A. Briggs, Clerk
U.S. District Court
Southern District of Indiana

By *Laura A. Briggs*
Deputy Clerk

